Preserve

App F IX 4

1932 (nil)

File No 34-32

Serial Nos 3, 4, 9, 13, 17, 21-23,

Previous References
nil 34-31- 3, 5, 7, 8, 9
& 58-29-4, 5, 7-10, 12 & 13,

Later References
nil file containing Doc 924
Mf 61-34 (1-4, 7)

PRESERVED

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos

Part B for July 33

SUBJECT

Acquisition of certain lands of the Mysore Kasaba village, for further expansion of the Chamundy Extension, Mysore City

nil 21

To Chairman Trust Bd, Mysore
Comptroller thro Fin Secy
Govt L 7410-11 & 13/5/33
sanctions an advance of Rs 13,600/- for —

BC
10/7/33

Acquisition of lands for further extension of Chamundy Extension

[App F III—2

eral and Revenue Secretariat — File No 34 of 1932.

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 24 SEP 1932

Serial No	
3	Letter no 332 dated 22/9/32 from the Chairman Trust Board Mysore, requesting that a sum of Rs 13600/- may be advanced out of State funds for meeting the cost of acquisition of certain lands in Mysore Kasba village for further extension of Chamundy extension.
	1 The Chairman State that the advance may be recouped by the Sale of sites that will be formed in the extension
	2 The Financial Secy may if necessary be consulted.
A	3 Submitted for orders with reference
	4 A - This may please be.
	5

23.9.32

24/9

(Financial Secy)

Financial Secy with (compliments)

27/9/32

24/9

Ht 9.22.32 h 28.9

Sd/- M. Krishnaiah Chetty Revenue Member of the Trust Board for '32-3 (Member Trust Board)

Before Contin Sheet

DT. G

WD 70—GBPM—60 000—13-8-31

GRS III—3]

Routine Notes

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc , not intended for permanent record.]

AGR 922-32

Later, provision was made in the Budget of 1931-32 for this purpose other contingency was asked how met. Now this provision vide 80815 to 31 Mg

Jeeg A

6 It was proposed to be met by re-appropriation in the Budget 1930-31 If this money lapsed, where is it now Why should Government advance more money. Was such an advance given in the case of the original Extension or in other previous cases? When will the sale proceeds come in? i e., within what period is the advance going to be repaid? Is the annual Government grant to the Trust Board of a fixed amount or does it vary from year to year.

(Id) S S
8/10/32

7 L S G Branch will please furnis the information required by the Finl Secretary in his note above 11-10-32.

To -
The Superintendent, L S.G Br with compliments

11/10/32

I m S'S 12/10

Draft answer for information in respect of A is put up for approval

Please perhaps copy of the Trust Bd Budget for 1931-32

15/10

A Copy of the G/O sanctioning the Budget for 1931-32 is put up

Sanctioned 2895 of 1931 dated 5/12/31 To Chairman Trust Board enclosing for information

TYPING SECTION 20 OCT 1932 GENL & REV SECTY

NB —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form.

GRS III—3] L 2536

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Letter no 586 of 28/11/32 from the Chairman City Improvement Trust Board Mysore. Furnishing the information called for

Submitted with reference to para 6.

(Fur'dccys) 1/12

83 8/12/32

P.U.DN

H.1727.32 in 1342-

1. The proposal of the Chairman is not definite as regards the period within which the advance, if given, will be repaid.

2 A sum of Rs 24,000/- is stated to be required for the repayment of certain deposits received during the previous years. Why this was not taken into account and provided for in the Budget is not clear It is also not known whether there are any assets.

P T O.

N B —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form.

Serial No

(Loans, Advances etc ,) likely to be realised during the year and if there are such assets, whether these deposits cannot be repaid out of these realisations.

Apparently the Budget that he sends to Government is not complete and omits debt head transactions like deposits and does not show loans and advances separately from final charges. It is necessary that the Budget and accounts should be correctly prepared, including all heads, in the same way as Municipal or Local Funds, showing 3 years' transactions and including also a statement of assets and liabilities.

3. It is stated that the advance of Rs 13,600/- granted during 1931-32 for meeting the acquisition of lands, though included in the closing balance of that year, is not available for the above purpose, as it is required for repaying certain items of deposits This position seems to be not correct The Trust Board should be in a position to repay the deposits received by it, irrespective of the amount advanced for Land Acquisition charges and the amount set apart for the Acquisition charges should not be diverted for other purposes.

4. When the Government on account of financial stringency, reduced the Government grant to Rs 50,000/-, it was up to the Board to curtail its operations and limit them to the funds made available The giving of an extra amount now asked for either as an advance or as a final grant is inconsistent with the reduction of the grant to the Fund as stated above and it is not

WD 613—GPB—500 -26 9 31

Serial No

not financially acceptable. It also requires a supplemental grant from the Legislative Council.

22/12/32

17/7/32

GENERAL SECRETARY'S 23 DEC 1932 No

4) Towards the request of the Trust Board may be received in the circumstances

Pen'sec'y) 22/12

15) The scheme is stated to be a self-supporting one. The Trust Bd expects to realise Rs 18175 as the sale price of the sites in return for the Rs 14000 spent. On enquiry Pen'sec'y has the advance asked for may be granted as a special case as there is stated to be a good demand for the sites. 23/12

F.B

DEWAN'S OFFICE 23 DEC 1932

Dewan) 23/12

A and B.

6) The observations of the Pen'sec'y in regard to the budgeting may be communicated to the Bd. The transactions of the Bd may be commended to A.G.

C. Was an advance of Rs 14000 given specially for this purpose from Govt funds? If so I do not see how the Bd can be permitted to

(+) Subd No special grant for this purpose was given from Govt funds. Assumes 14000 was granted for when preparing Budget for 1932-33 Revised Estimate for 1931-32 was asked by Govt Accts

NOTE—Contn Sheet WD 613—GPB—500—26 9 31

Quash it for some other purpose -

3. The acquisition proceedings are stated to have been completed and the L.A. officer has sent a bill for the amount. The demand has therefore to be met - How the money should be found may be considered after we hear from the Chairman in regard to B and the assets if any to be realised to which he seems has referred in his remarks at A

Mrs 24/12/32

26/12

Mrk 26/12/32

17) Submit with a file for approval
30 12 32

Chief Secy) 18 30/12/32

CHIEF ...
30 DEC. 1932

19) Please submit to Govt by 3/1/33

Rg

Genl Secy.) mrk 20) 3/1/33

GENERAL SECRETARY
3 - JAN 1
No.

21) Issue by 3/1

TYPING SECT.
4 JAN 1...
GENL & REV SECT.

28K 3/1/33

13) Issues noted 4 & 6 of 6/1/33 to Chairman for his Regret him to furnish information on the points referred and to indicate if there are any assets to be realised from which the deposits can be repaid as suggested

Mrs 9/1

L 4313

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 3 4 of 1932 | [App F III—2

Serial No	
17	Letter no 1070 dated 3/4/33 from the Chairman, City Improvement Trust Board Mysore, furnishing the information called for and requesting that the advance of Rs 13,000 asked for, for acquiring lands for further extension of Channapura extension.
	2) The Chairman has now submitted a revised budget estimate and states that no funds are available for meeting the cost of acquisition of lands of the Mysore Kasaba. The Chairman states that the deposits can be met out of the opening balance and the realisations during the year.
	3) Submitted with reference to para 16
	4) This may be sent to the Financial Secretary for favour of further opinion, with reference to the revised budget estimate now sent by the Chairman with the Statement of Assets and Liabilities
	(Sd) [illegible] 8/4
	5) FC 12/4(33).
	(Sd) [illegible] 12/4

L

MW

H 3035/13-4-33

NOTE—Contn Sheet

PTC

File No of 193 General and Revenue Secretariat

4310

Serial No

FC 3035—

UL 6444 24 SEP 1933 CE

26 From the details now furnished by the Chairman, it will be seen that the provision made for the above purpose in the Budget for 1931-32 was utilised for other items of expenditure. The Chairman states that no funds are available for this purpose in the current year's Budget and requests that a sum of Rs. 13,600/- may be advanced by Government.

27 As already stated in para 13, the proposal of the Chairman is not definite as regards the period within which the advance, if given will be repaid. The statement that as sales are effected the amounts realised will be credited to Government is indefinite and is therefore not acceptable.

28 On a comparison of the original sanctioned estimates for 1932-33 with the revised estimates now sent up, it will be seen that the provision for expenditure in the revised estimates is much more than the sanctioned provision under several heads (e.g., Acquisition of lands, Drainage and other works) the excess amounting to more than Rs. 15,000/-. If expenditure is regulated with reference to the Budget grant - as it ought to be , it should, I think be possible for the Trust Board to meet the demand for Rs 13,600/- referred to in the file from their funds without any aid from Government.

29 In these circumstances, the proposal to sanction an advance is not financially acceptable.

30) An advance of Rs 13600 may be sd It should be repaid in three years (Dewan) or earlier if the sale proceeds of sites come to this Sum before the expiry of that period

24/4/33

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 0246—GPB—15,000—22-8-32

RR 25/4/33 24/4/33 Minute 24/4/33

L 4312

Jud File No 34 of 1932 — General and Revenue Secretariat

31) Submitted with a draft Go for approval.
26/4/33 CB 26/4/33

32) The source from which the amount has to be settled before Jud Br

33) The Jud Secy may be consulted re A if the same has been mentioned in the Govt order

Jud Br 26/4

CHIEF SECRETARY 26 APR. 1933

Chief Secy) 34) JS

RB 27/4/33

Jud Br) Jud Br 27/4

Fl 3035/28-4-3 LS

35) The advance required may be met out of the provision of Rs 1 Lakh made for advances to Village Panchayats in the current year's Budget.

36) It is understood that about Rs 65,000 is available from out of the said provision.

N 3/5

Chief Secy) 37) CB 3/5/33.

CHIEF SECRETARY 4 MAY 1933

38) Draft please RB 9/5/33 Jud Br 9/5

39) Draft Go already put up has been added to and submitted CB 10/5

CHIEF SECRETARY 11 MAY 1933

Chief Secy) 40) 288 RB 11/5/33 Jud Br 11/5

41) R. Conc. 2030 Rule-Register here CB 12/5

12 MAY 1933

Issue

Secy) CB 13/5/33 Issued 17/5 CB 18/5/33.

L 4313 L 3538

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 34 of 1932 | [App F III—2

Serial No	
21	Note 7410-H of 13/5/33 to Chairman Tadpatri requesting him to forward Sanctioning the advance of Rs 13,600 subject to certain conditions
22	Letter no 989/Loans dated 1st June 33 from the Comptroller to Govt stating that it is not clear whether the amount has to be treated as an advance coming under either "23, other advances (P) advances to Village Panchayets for acquiring lands required for village extensions" or 27 (a) Loans to Municipalities and District Boards" and that if it is a case give later requesting to be intimated whether interest at the usual rate of 6/0 is to be levied on the advance. (2) In the Govt order Sanctioning the advance it has been stated that the amount would be met out of the provision of 1 lakh made for advances to village Panchayets in the current years budget (Para 35 of 36) Submitted for orders. The Financial Secy may be consulted as it is doubtful if the levy of interest on the advance is intended

6/6/33

Chief Secy

7 JUNE 1933

Jt 3625/4-6-33

Note—Contn Sheet

For favour of recall

8/6/33

First Secy Loan Cases

13/6/33.

45 WD 0246 -GPB—15,000—22-8-32

The City Improvement Trusts being somewhat different from other Local Bodies the budgets

its funds comes from the Government funds ~~Since~~ The purpose of the advance is for acquiring land for forming building sites It does not therefore seem the necessary to treat this as a loan to a local body or levy interest with

3617 -11
JC
DEWANS C...
SG
5 JUL 1933

2
14/6

S 15/6/33.

41) Draft reply to the Comptroller
Mr Leeper
15/6

47) Submitted with a draft for approval
SS
15/6/33 Chief Secy)
2/6

48) JC Secy
RG
22/6/33

49) Draft for approval
RG
3/7/33
3/7

50) Dewan
4/7/33
RG
4/7/33
4/7

Loans No 133 of 7/7/33 to Corporation by Govt
States that it is not necessary to treat the amount
as a Loan to a Local Body & levy interest at

TYPIST'S
4 JUL
25

RG
8/7

Ml 34-32-3

No 332
E. Gen

GEN & REV. SECTT
23 SEPT
L.B.G. BRANCH.

OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN
CITY IMPROVEMENT TRUST BOARD
MYSORE

Dated, 21st September 1932

22

FROM

B.T.Kesavaiengar,Esquire., B.A.,
TELEGRAMMES: CHAIRMAN, MYSORE.
CHAIRMAN CITY IMPROVEMENT TRUST BOARD
MYSORE

To

The General Secretary,
to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of
Mysore.

GENERAL SECRETARY.
23 SEP 1932
No. L 8 9

W.S.S. 3/9

Adverting to Government order No.L.2895-8/Ml.34-31-9 dated 15th October 1931 sanctioning the acquisition of the marginally noted Survey lands of the Mysore Kasaba village for further expansion of Chamundy Extension, I have the honour to state that the Assistant Commissioner, Mysore Sub-Division who was authorised to take order for the acquisition of the said lands has since passed awards in respect of all the lands except Survey Nos. 192/1(135) and 192/2(136) and sent a bill for Rs 13,603-14-0 on account of compensation payable to the parties concerned. As there is no provision in the current year's budget for meeting the cost of acquisition of these lands and as in the present financial condition of the Board it is not possible to find funds for this work, the Board have resolved that the Government may be requested to kindly sanction the grant of the required amount from State Funds treating it as an advance to be recouped by the sale of sites that will be formed there. A copy of the said resolution is enclosed for reference.

2. As already explained in this office letter No.307 dated 1st September 1930, the scheme is a self-supporting one and whatever amount is spent on the acquisition of these lands can be realised by the sale

of sites for which there is very great demand from the displaced and houseless people. I request therefore that you may be so good as to place the matter before Government and obtain their kind sanction to the said sum of Rs 13,600/- being advanced to the Board from State Funds for meeting the cost of acquisition of the said Survey lands.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Chairman.

Nos.192/1,192/2,
193,250,251,
252,277 and
276.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Section rel

File No 34-32

Serial No 4

Diary No of letter replied to L1425

No L 2898

To

The Chairman

City Improvement Trust

Mysore

General and Revenue Secretariat

(rel.)

the *193*

Date of issue 24/10

Signed by Mr A V Rao

Sir

With reference to your letter no 332 dated 22/9/32 requesting that a sum of Rs 1360 may be advanced out of State funds for meeting the cost of acquisition of certain lands in Mysore Kasba village for further expansion of Chamundy extension, I am directed to state that it was proposed to meet the cost of the acquisition in the budget of 1931-32 and to enquire whether that provision has lapsed and if so where

List of enclosures

Copied by

a provision of Rs 14000 was made in the budget of the Trust for the year 1931-32

Examined by

WD 71—GBPM—40,000—20 7 31

is it (the amount now) ~~I am also to request~~
~~you to be so good as to furnish~~
~~information on the following points~~
(1) why (money has) advance more money. It may also be stated
and
(2) whether ~~when~~ such an advance was given in
the case of the original extension
or in other previous cases, ~~and~~
(3) when the sale proceeds (returned on para 2 of your letter are expected to come in
and (3) ~~when~~ what period is the
advance going to be repaid?

I have etc

Adm
19/10 for General Secretary

[illegible]

L 2898
Mr 34-32-4

20th October 1932.
27

The

The Chairman,
City Improvement Trust Board,
Mysore.

With reference to your letter No.332 dated 22nd September 1932 requesting that a sum of Rs 13,600/- may be advanced out of State Funds for meeting the cost of acquisition of certain lands of the Mysore Kasaba Village for further expansion of Chamundy Extension, I am directed to state that a provision of Rs 14,000/- was made in the budget of the Trust Board for the year 1931-32 to meet the cost of the acquisition and to enquire whether that provision has lapsed and if so where is the amount now and why Government should advance more money. It may also be stated (1) whether such an advance was given in the case of the original extension or in other previous cases, (2) when the sale proceeds referred to in para 2 of your letter are expected to come in and (3) within what period is the advance going to be repaid.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

A Subba Rao
General Secretary to Government.

S.R.-2

Ml-34-32-9

No 586

OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN,
CITY IMPROVEMENT TRUST BOARD,
MYSORE

Dated 26th November *193* 2

28 2536/2

FROM

B T KESAVAIENGAR, ESQUIRE, B A,
CHAIRMAN, CITY IMPROVEMENT TRUST BOARD,
MYSORE

GEN. & REV. SECTT. 2 DEC
L. B. & G. BRANCH

To

The General Secretary,
to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of
Mysore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.L.2898/Ml. 34-32-4 dated 21st October 1932 regarding the grant of an advance of Rs 13,600/- for meeting the cost of acquisition of certain lands of the Mysore Kasaba Village for further expansion of Chamundi Extension and enquiring whether the provision of Rs 14,000/- made in the budget for 1931-1932 for the purpose has lapsed etc., I have the honour to state that this provision lapsed although it may be taken to have been included in the closing balance of Rs 30,873/- of last year. This amount comprises of a sum of Rs 13,000/- being the unspent balance of money drawn last year for Mandi Drainage works and receipts under suspense accounts. The balance of /Rs 17,873/- including Rs 10,000/- due from the Municipality on account of moiety of establishment charges in all Rs 27,873/- will be required for meeting the following items of expenditure and it will not be available for acquisition of the properties in question.

	Rs
(1) Deposits received during the last and previous years to be refunded now.	24,000/-
(2) Incomplete works of last year paid during this year for which provision exists in the current year's budget.	4,500/-
(3) Excess under establishment due to leave allowances.	2,000/-
Total Rs	30,500/-

2. The fact that the reduced grant of Rs 50,000/- has crepplied the resources of the Board for works of acquisition, construction and drainage has already been explained in my letter No. 33 dated 13th July 1932. As the present demand cannot be met either from the opening balance or the small grant as indicated above, I request that Government may kindly be moved to grant the advance applied for.

3. As regards the other three points, I beg to state as follows:-

(1) Such an advance had not been given in the case of the original extension or in any other previous case. There was no need either for such an advance being obtained in view of the fact that in previous years, the grants made by the Government to the Trust Board were fairly adequate to cope with the activities undertaken by the Board.

(2) Soon after the payment of the compensation amount and the possession of land taken over, sites will be formed and disposed of.

(3) As sales are effected the amounts realised will be credited to Government.

4. If, for any reason, the advance cannot be made, the question of giving an additional grant to the extent of the amount required, which has been done on more than one occasion previously, may kindly be considered. I request the favour of early orders in the matter.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Chairman.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Section Ml

File No 3 Le - 32

Serial No 13

Diary No of letter replied to 14/25/5/86

No L-4496

To The Chairman City Improvement Trust Board Mysore

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 6/1/33

Signed by Mr. Nesk

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No 5 &c. dated 28th Nov 1932 regarding the grant of an advance of Rs 13600 from the State Funds for meeting the cost of acquisition of certain lands of the Mayo Kasaba Village for further extension of Chamundi Extension I am directed to observe as follows.

~~#~~ A sum of Rs 2,40,000/- is stated to be required for the repayment of certain deposits received during the previous years. It is not clear why this was not taken into account and provided for in the Budget, ~~is not clear~~ It is also not known whether there are

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

any assets (Loans advances etc) likely to
be realised during the year and if there
are such assets, whether these deposits cannot
be repaid out of these realisations

Apparently the Budget that has been as
sent to Govt is not complete, and debit heads
transactions like deposits have been omitted
and loans and advances have not been
separately shown separately from final charges.

It is necessary that the Budget and accounts
should be correctly prepared, including
all heads, in the same way as ML or Local funds,
showing 3 years' transactions and including
also a statement of assets and liabilities

I am to request you to forward an early reply on the above points and indicate if there are any assets from which the deposits can be repaid as suggested in para 2 above

I have the

[illegible]

Agent Secy to Govt
30.12.22

Extra

D4696

4th January 1933.

The

The Chairman,
City Improvement Trust Board,
Mysore.

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No.586 dated 28th November 1932 regarding the grant of an advance of Rs 13,600/- from the State Funds for meeting the cost of acquisition of certain lands of the Mysore Kasaba Village for further expansion of Chamundi Extension, I am directed to observe as follows:-

A sum of Rs.24,000/- is stated to be required for the repayment of certain deposits received during the previous years. It is not clear why this was not taken into account and provided for in the Budget. It is also not known whether there are any assets (Loans, Advances etc.) likely to be realised during the year and if there are such assets, whether these deposits cannot be repaid out of these realisations.

The Board's Budget as sent to Government is not complete as debt head transactions like deposits have been omitted and loans and advances have not been shown separately from final charges. It is necessary that the Budget and accounts should be correctly prepared, including all heads, in the same way as Municipal and Local Funds, showing 3 years' transactions and including also a statement of assets and liabilities.

I am to request you to forward an early reply on the above points and indicate, if there are any assets to be realised from which the deposits can be repaid as suggested in para 2 above.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

MSK

for General Secretary to Government.

S.R.-2

ml 34-32-1/

No 1070 | E. Two.

OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN,
CITY IMPROVEMENT TRUST BOARD,
MYSORE

Dated 1st April 1933.

3

GEN. & REV SECTT. 4313 APR 1933 L. S. G. BRANCH.

FROM

B T KESAVAIENGAR, ESQUIRE., B. A.,
CHAIRMAN, CITY IMPROVEMENT TRUST BOARD,
MYSORE

To

The General Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Bangalore.

GENERAL SECRETARY. No 1552

SIR,

With reference to your letter no. L 4496/ml. 34-32-13 dated 6th January 1933 making certain observations on the budget for the year 1932-33 in connection with the loan of Rs. 13,600/- required for meeting the cost of acquisition of certain lands of Mysore Kasaba Village for further expansion of Chamundi Extension, I have the honour to forward herewith a revised budget prepared on the lines indicated showing a total receipt and expenditure of Rs. 1,71,575/- as against Rs. 85,000/- of the original budget and a statement of assets and liabilities as on 1st of July 1932. The Debit Head Trasnactions and the transactions for past 3 years were not being shown in the Board's Budget from the commencement and the same procedure was adopted in the original Budget of the current year. They will however be shown in all future budgets. In the budget now sent Debit Head transactions are shown separately.

The opening balance of Rs. 30,873/- which had not been taken to account in the original budget is now done, as, at the time of its preparation, it was expected that some of the deposits would be paid last year alone and there would be no balance left.

Certain additions and modifications in the provisions for works etc. are also made in this budget according to the present requirements taking into consideration the actual expenditure for the 1st 6 months and the probable expenditure for the 2nd 6 months, so that the need for a re-appropriation may be avoided as far as possible at the end of the year.

From the abstract estimate of receipts and expenditures it may be kindly seen that the deposits can be met out of the opening balance and other realisations during the year.

The revised Budget Estimate was placed before the Board of Trustees at their meeting held on 28th March 1933 and their approval was obtained to it. A copy of their Resolution is herewith forwarded for favour of obtaining sanction of Government.

This Budget Estimate makes the financial position clear and shows that no funds are available for meeting the cost of acquisition of Lands of the Mysore Kasaba Village for further expansion.of Chamundi Extension for which an advance of Rs. 13,600/- was requested. In the circumstances explained H Government may kindly be moved to sanction this advance on the conditions mentioned in this office letter no. 586 dated 28th November 1932.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

Chairman.

Proceedings of the 9th ordinary meeting of the Board of Trustees for the Improvement of the City of Mysore held at the Chairman's office on Wednesday the 29th March 1933 at 8 A.M.

Present.

B.T.Kesavaiengar,Esquire., B.A., (Chairman)-

1. The Director of Health in Mysore.
2. The Vice-President, City Municipal Council, Mysore.
3. The Health Officer -do-
4. C. Narasimhiah,Esquire., B.A., B.L.,
5. A.Krishna Rao,Esquire., B.A., B.L.,
6. Mohamed Sait,Esquire.,

Absent.

7. Sir Charles Todhunter, K.C.S.I.,
8. J.J.Mieldazis,Esquire., B.Sc., B.E., Consulting Sanitary Engineer, Rockefeller Foundation,Bangalore.

x x x

XX. Revised budget estimates of the City Improvement Trust Board, Mysore for the year 1932-1933.

Approved.

x x x

"Copy"

Chairman.

CITY IMPROVEM

Reference

Subject

To

City Improvement Trust Board, Mysore.

Abstract estimate of Receipts and expenditure for the year 1932 - 1933.

Serial No.	Receipts.	Amount.	Serial No.	Expenditure.	Amount.
1	Opening balance	30,873	1	Acquisition of properties.	22,163/-
2.	State grant.	50,000	2.	Construction of draniage and other works including repairs, maintenance and extensions.	27,179
3.	Sale proceeds of sites, bits of land, repayment of ~~loans~~ loans and advances, miscellaneous etc.	29,715	3.	Mandi Draniage works	28,326
4.	Balance amount of loan for Mandi draniage works.	20,000	4.	Establishment charges.	24,396
5.	Receipts under ~~my~~ suspense heads of account such as deposits etc.	40,987	5.	Survey charges	40
			6.	Printing charges.	500
			7.	Law charges.	516
			8.	Tools and plant.	400.
			9.	House building loan.	1, 680
			10.	Loss on stock.	500
			11.	Payments under suspense heads of account.	66,475
	Total.	1,71,575			1,71,575

Chairman.

2

City Improvement Trust Board, Mysore.

Statement of the details of Expenditure proposed to be incurred during year 1932-33.

Serial No.	Name of work.	Amount of estimate.	Budget. Sanctioned.	Budget. Revised
1.	1. Acquisition of properties.			
1.	Acquisition of properties near Vani Vilas market circle.		10,000	10,000
2.	Do of lands below septic tank for sewage Farm.		3,000	1,600
3.	Other Acquisitions:-		2,000	
	(a) Pay of temporary establishment charged to acquisition.	600		307
	(b) Acquisition of properties in Fort and Krishnaraja mohalla.	3,19,550		3,048
	(c) Do Mandi mohalla.	4,71,005		388
	(d) Do. Lashkar mohalla.			2,920
	(1) Acquisition of property No. 1153 in Block 2 and (8) in Block 26 770-0-0			
	(2) Do in " 27 2150-0-0			
	(e) Acquisition of property, Door No. 607 in Block 5 Mandi mohalla.			400
	(f) Acquisition of properties for a play ground in Mandi mohalla.			1,500
	(g) Other acquisitions.			2,000
	Total.		15,000	22,163

Sd. R. 12,000 22163

II Construction works.

1.	Giving house connections in the several mohalla's of the city.		1,000	2,000
2.	Laying submain to the West of Palace site in Block 69/B.	540		446
3.	Vani Vilasa Mohalla Extension:-		2,500	2,500
4.	(a) Formation of roads.	850		394
	(b) Construction of crossings.	550		199
	(c) Forming foot paths and quadrants in Vani Vilas mohalla.	210		210
	(d) Constructing cement concrete drains.	2,060		1,697
4.	Narasimharaja mohalla.		2,500	780
	(a) Formation of roads in military Block.	400		400
	(b) Demarketing sites and Laying out roads in Kesare Road.	530		200
	(c) Constructing cement concrete surface drain in model house Block.			180
5.	Forming circle near Medical College.	5,165		5,165
6.	Incomplete works of last year:-			212
	(a) Experimental Flushing latrine in the city.	251		7
	(b) Laying pipe line in Bakshi Narasappa's Agrahar.	330		60
	(c) Reducing the width of the Pandit's Road.	520		110
	(d) Laying 7" submain between the houses of Armagam Mudaliar and others in Ittigagud.	400		1
	(e) Opening out a road to the West of Exhibition Buildings.			4
	(f) Constructing cement concrete drain at the rear side of rice mill in Idga.	800		30
7.	Railway station Drainage works.			1,500
			c/f R.	12603

B/F R. 22,163

22163

Brought forward. Rs. A. 12,603 22,163

8. Laying sewerlines in Dale Urs Layam.		~~250~~	1, 500	
9. Repairing the new Municipal office buildings.		250	330	
II (a) Other works including maintenance.				
1. Contribution to Muncipality towards taxing of roads.		8,000	8,000/-	
2. Payment to Muncipality on account of interest on loan taken for Mandi drniage.		8,000	300/-	
3. Maintenance of Avenues.	1,500	1,500	1,500	
4. Special works of urgent and unforeseen nature:-			2,946	
(a) Establishment for looking after Fort maidan.	432		432	
(b) Widening Nanjangud Road.	600		600	
(c) Improvement in Ghandhi square.	990		~~200~~ 466	
(d) Putting up stone benches in Krishnaraja Vanam.	200		200	
(e) Preparing and fixing two gates for the lane by the side of Swadeshi stores in Sayaji Rao Road.	250		180	
(f) Converting the triangular plot in front of the Exhibition into a park with wire fencing.	950		880	
(g) Laying 7" pipe line in Sunnadakeri. benches			68	
(h) Repairing the tree guards and putting in Kantaraja Urs park.			120	
Total II.			27,979	27179
III. Mandi Drainage Works.	50,000	20,000	28,326	
IV. Establishment Charges.		22,173	24,356	
V. Survey Charges.		50	40	
VI. Printing charges.		200	500	
VII. Law charges.		500	516	
VIII. Tools and Plant.		550	400	
IX. House Building Loan.			1,080	
X. Losses on Stock.			500	
				55758 / 105100

c/f.

	Brought forward.	1,05,100	
XI.	Payments under suspense heads of account.	66,475	
	Grand Total.		1,71,575

Chairman.

City Improvement Trust Board, Mysore.

Statement of Assets and Liabilities as on 1st July 1932.

Sel No.	Assets.		Amount.	Sel No	Liabilities.		Amount.
1.	Opening Balance.		30,873	1	Arrears due to contractors.		1,642
2.	Balance of cost of properties sold.		13,781	2.	Suspense Heads of Account.		37,530
					(a) Deposits Ordinary.	10,803/-	
3.	House Bulding advance.		6,150		(b) Do. contributions.	24,857/-	
					(c) Stock Purchases.	1,870/-	

4.	Do. Loans.		8,020	3.	Bills on Land:-		4,687
					(a) Mysore Special Division for formation of circle in front of Medical College - 4,687/-		
5.	Stock on hand.		19,337				
6.	Suspense Heads of Account.		16,019	4.	Mandi Draniage scheme.		8,326
	(a) Mis: Advance.	2,087/-					
	(b) Stock sales.	1,162/-		5.	Difference between assets and liabilities.		41,995
	(c) Objection book advance.	12,770/-	---------				------

	Total.		94,180		Total.		94,180
			---------				-------

Chairman.

25/3

City Improvement Trust Board, Mysore.

Statement showing the details of Establishment charges for the year 1932-1933.

Sel. No.	Particulars.	No: of hands required.	Sanctioned scale of salary of officials.	Establishment Charges. Period.	Annum.	Total.	Remarks.
1.	Chairman.	1.	Salary Rs.1,000/- Allowance Rs.150 1,150/- Salary Rs.1,200/- Allowance Rs.150/- 1,350/-	1,150x9½ 1,350x2½	10,925 (1) 3,375 (1)	14,300	
2.	Executive Engineer	1.	Salary Rs.450/- Allowance 150/- 600/- Salary Rs.500/- Allowance 150/-	600 x 4 650 x 8	2,400 (1) 5,200 (1)	7,600	
3.	Manager.	1.	80. 5. 100	85 x 11 90 x 1	935 (1) 90 (2)	1,025	
4.	Chief Clerk.	1.	60. 4. 80	80 x 12	960	960	
5.	1st grade clerk.	1.	45. 3. 60	60 x 8	480	480	
6.	2nd Clerk.	5.	30. 3. 45	3 x 45 x 12 1 x 45 x 5½ 1 x 39 x 2 42 x 10 10 x 12 ⊕ 8½ x 5 ⊙	1,620 247 78 420 120 42	2,527	Out of 5 two are supernumerary and 1 is lately confirmed in the Muncipality. ⊕ Conveyance allowance to the store keeper. ⊙ Allowance to clerk doing cash work.
n7.	3rd grade Clerk.	2.	25. 1. 30	1 x 12 x 30 1 x 30 x 1	360 30	390	
8.	Notice server.	1.	15/-	15 x 12	180	180	
9.	Head Draftsman.	1.	100.10.150 Allowance 30/-	100 x 12 30 x 12	1.200 360	1,200 360	He has been appointed temporary overseer vide C.O. No: ml/13-32-2 dated 11/7/32.

10. Asst. Draftsman	1.	35. 3. 30	38 x 6 41 x 6	228 246	474
11. Tracer.	1.	30. 1. 35	31 x 6 32 x 6	186 192	378
12. Special grade Sub-Overseers.	3.	80. 5. 100 + 10/-	2 x 100 x 12 2 x 10 x 12 1 x 100 x 3½(a)	2,400 240 350	2,990
13. Sub Overseers.	2.	35. 5/2. 80 +10/-	1 x 70 x 5 75 x 7 10 x 12 1 x 55 x 5 60 x 7 10 x 12	350 525 120 275 420 120	1,810
14. Dafedar	1.	15	15 x 12	180	180
15. Peon.	1.	13	13 x 12	156	156
16, Peons.	3.	12	3 x 12 x 12	432	432
17. Do.	4.	11	4 x 11 x 12	528	528
18. Allowance to Avenue Inspector.	1.	10	10 x 12	120	120
19. Contribution to Garden Department.		28	28 x 12	336	336
20 Pensions.				1,800	1,800
21. Travelling Allowance.				100	100
22. Contingency.				900	900 .

1 Special grade Sub-Oversser's place was revived vide letter No: 2292/5 dated 23/3/1933 from the Secretary P.W. Department

(a) Mr. Nizamalli Khan was retired from 16th October 1932.

Brought forward.

	39,226	
25. Leave Allowance.	2,400	
	41,626	
Deduct:- (1) Cuts in salaries.	4,245	
	37,381	
(2) Contribution from the Municipality towards pay of the Chairman, Asst. Engineer and Drawing ~~Establishments~~ Establishment.	12,985	
net charges.		24,396.

Chairman.

1 mo
2/3

G R S App F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to L 4313

Section Mrl

File No 34-32

Serial No 21

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Mrl)

the *193* .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Date of issue 13/5/ 1933.

Signed by Mr A Subba Rao

Read— correspondence ending with letter no 1070 | dated 3rd April 1933 from the Chairman, City Improvement Trust Board, Mysore requesting that a sum of Rs 13,600/- may be advanced out of State funds to the Trust Board ~~Order thereon—No~~ for meeting the cost of acquisition of certain lands of the Mysore Kasba village for further expansion of the Chamundy extension, the amount being recouped by the sale of sites that would be formed there.

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette Kannada Part ?

M.V.B

Order:- L. 7410-11 9/13/5

An advance of Rs 13,600 is
Sanctioned, the amount being met out of the provision of 1 lakh made
for advances to village Panchayats in the current year's budget
2 The advance amount shall be repaid by the Forest Board
in three years or earlier if the Sale
proceeds of Silks amount to that
sum before the expiry of that period

RG
27/4/5

RG
11/5/7

Addl Chief Secy to Govt
26/4

To the Chairman Silk Export Fds Mysore
The comptroller to Govt (This five sets)

List of Enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

WD 73—GBPM—20,000—10 8 31

Extract

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read.-

Correspondence ending with letter No. 1070 dated 3rd April 1933 from the Chairman, City Improvement Trust Board, Mysore, requesting that a sum of Rs. 13,600/- may be advanced out of State Funds to the Trust Board for meeting the cost of acquisition of certain lands of the Mysore Kasba village, for future expansion of the Chamundy extension, the amount being recouped by the sale of sites that would be formed there.

Order No. L 7410-11/Rel 36-32-21

Dated, Bangalore, the 12th/13 May 1933.

An advance of Rs. 13,600/- is sanctioned, the amount being met out of the provision of one lakh made for advances to Village Panchayets in the current year's budget.

2. The advance should be repaid by the ~~Municipal Council~~ Trust Board in three years or earlier, if the sale proceeds of sites amount to that sum before the expiry of that period.

rd

Aswatha Rao
for Chief Secretary to Government.

To

The Chairman, City Improvement Trust Board, Mysore.

The Comptroller to Government, through Financial Secretariat.

C.R.G.6.

Ml 34-32-22

URGENT.

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

No 989/Loans Bangalore, dated 1st June 193 3

5538
L

From

M VENKATESA IYENGAR, Esq., MA
Comptroller to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore

To

19
L. S. G. Branch.

R. Ranga Rao Esqr., B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to Government,
B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

Adverting to Government Order No. L.7410-11/Ml. 34-32-21 dated 13th May 1933 sanctioning an advance of Rs 13,600-0-0 to Mysore City Improvement Trust Board for acquiring lands of Mysore Kasaba Village, I have the honour to state that it is not clear from the Government Order whether the amount has to be treated as an advance coming under either "23 Other Advances (p) Advances to Village Panchayats for acquiring lands required for Village Extensions" or "27 (a) Loans to Municipalities and District Boards." If it is a case of the latter, it may kindly be intimated whether interest at the usual rate of 6% is to be levied on the advance.

As the Chairman of the Mysore City Improvement Trust Board, made an urgent requisition to this office for payment of the advance, the Deputy Commissioner, Treasury Department, Mysore has been authorised to pay the amount to the Chairman, City Improvement Trust Board after obtaining the requisite bonds.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant,

C V Narayana Rao

For Comptroller.

CSS

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Section Rl

File No. 34-32

Serial No 23

Diary No of letter replied to L 5538

No L /33

To

The Comptroller to the
Govt of Mysore.
Bangalore

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Rl)

the *193*

Date of issue 7/7/33

Signed by Mr A Subba Rao

Sir

With reference to your
letter no 989 dated 14 June 33
requesting to be informed
~~stating what it is not clear~~
whether the amount of Rs 136000/
advanced to the Mysore Civ-Dispt 705
under G O no L 7410-11/RE 34-32-4 dt 13 5 1933
for acquiring lands has to be treated
as an advance Coming under ~~section~~
~~"22 Other advances (P) advances to~~
~~various Local funds for acquiring lands~~
~~required for village extensions~~ "27
(a) Loans to municipalities and
in the State budget and
District Boards" ~~(Cess not part of~~
~~Cess these below not working to be~~

List of enclosures

Copied by

5/7

Examined by

A. t. 9

~~Whether~~ Whether interest at the usual rate of 6½ is to be levied on the advance, I am directed to state that the ~~Improvement~~ Trust Board is somewhat different from other Local Bodies inasmuch as the bulk of its funds comes from Govt grants and as the advance is for acquiring land for forming building site ~~It does not therefore~~ it is not necessary to treat the amount as a loan to a local body or levy interest on it

I have etc

RS

4/3/7/33

Asst Engr 2/6 ~~Chief Secy~~ to Govt

extra

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No L 133/Rul 34-32-23 *Dated, Bangalore.. 7th July 1933.*

From

The *Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*

To

The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 989 dated 1st June 1933 requesting to be informed whether the amount of Rs 13,600/- advanced to the Mysore City Improvement Trust Board under Government Order No. L 7410-11/M1. 34-32-21 dated 13th May 1933 for acquiring lands has to be treated as an advance coming under "27 (a) Loans to Municipalities and District Boards" in the State Budget and whether interest at the usual rate of 6% is to be levied on the advance, I am directed to state that as the Trust Board is somewhat different from other Local Bodies inasmuch as the bulk of its funds comes from Government grants and as the advance is for requiring land for forming building sites, it is not necessary to treat the amount as a loan to a local body or levy interest on it.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Asubba Rao

Chief Secretary to Government.

C.R.G.2